information that is either admissible at trial or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of information that is admissible at trial and is vague and/or overly burdensome. Without waiving the aforementioned objections, all treatment for any injuries and/or emotional distress that Plaintiff has suffered because of the actions and/or inactions of Defendants has been performed

27

26

23

24

25

by employees of the Commonwealth Health Center. Plaintiff is not aware of the specific names of the individuals involved in the aforementioned treatment nor the amount of the charges for said treatment, but will agree to sign a release that will enable PSS to inspect Plaintiff's records provided that PSS will provide a copy of any and all documents that PSS copies from these records to Plaintiff.

Interrogatory No. 5: Have you ever received treatment, counseling, or undergone observation for a mental condition, alcoholism, narcotic addiction or disorder of any kind. If so identify the health care provider or institution, the reason for and nature of the treatment, and the dates of the treatment.

Response to Interrogatory No. 5: Plaintiff objects as this interrogatory does not seek information that is either admissible at trial or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of information that is admissible at trial, is vague and/or overly burdensome. Without waiving the aforementioned objections, Plaintiff responds that she has undergone treatment for depression with the personnel at the CNMI Community Guidance Center and Dr. Tony Stearns. Plaintiff will agree to sign a release that will enable PSS to inspect Plaintiff's records provided that PSS will provide a copy of any and all documents that PSS copies from these records to Plaintiff'.

Interrogatory No. 6: Have you ever had any adverse employment action initiated or taken against you, including letters of reprimand, letters regarding any failure to perform or negative attitude, negative performance appraisals, or have you ever resigned from employment to avoid any such adverse action. If so, identify the employer and any documents related to the adverse action or resignation.

Response to Interrogatory No. 6: Plaintiff objects as this interrogatory does not seek information that is either admissible at trial or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of information that is admissible at trial, is vague and/or overly burdensome as it seeks to compel Plaintiff to examine her entire work history over her entire lifetime without any temporal or geographic limit to its scope. Without waiving the aforementioned objections, to the best of Plaintiff's knowledge any such documents the identification of which would be responsive to this interrogatory, are contained within her PSS personnel file and or the materials generated during grievance proceedings filed with the CNMI Board of Education (to which PSS has more ready access than Plaintiff). Furthermore, Plaintiff has not resigned from any position in order to avoid any negative personnel action. 

Interrogatory No. 7: Have you ever had your contract of employment not renewed with any person or employer or have you ever resigned to avoid a non-renewal of contract? If so, identify the employer and any documents related to the non-renewal of your contract.

Response to Interrogatory No. 7: Plaintiff objects to this interrogatory as it is vague as the term "not renewed" is not defined with any particularity and it is capable of more than one interpretation. Without waiving the aforementioned objections, the answer is "no."

Interrogatory No. 8: State with particularity all facts supporting your contention that Defendant Jim Brewer "formed erroneous belief that Ms. Black was responsible for drafting, circulating and/or encouraging others to sign it ("letter of concern")."

Response to Interrogatory No. 8: Plaintiff would direct PSS's attention to the facts as alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint. Additionally, Defendant Jim Brewer made several statements to Plaintiff and other individuals, both in the company of others and when alone with Plaintiff, communicating his belief that Plaintiff had some involvement with the "letter of concern" as alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint. Furthermore, as discovery in this matter is ongoing and both party and fact witness depositions have yet to be conducted, Plaintiff reserves the right to update this interrogatory, up to and including at trial, as new facts become known to her.

Interrogatory No. 9: State with particularity all facts supporting your contention that Defendant Jim Brewer, "acting alone or in concerted [sic] with others, actively interfered with Ms. Black's efforts to secure employment at other schools within the PSS system."

Response to Interrogatory No. 9: Plaintiff would direct PSS's attention to the facts as alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint. Additionally, after her teaching contract at Hopwood Junior High School was terminated, Plaintiff attempted to secure other employment within the PSS employment system. Plaintiff, because of her experience teaching and reputation amongst other PSS administrators, was met with overtures of employment from several administrators within the PSS employment system. However, on those occasions, when said administrators attempted to effectuate Plaintiff's employment in their particular school with PSS Human Resources, they, for some reason unknown to Plaintiff, suddenly abandoned their efforts to employ Plaintiff, instead communicating to her that there was some issue with her employment at the Human Resources level. Additionally, once Plaintiff was able to find a teaching position with a private language school, persons acting on behalf of Defendants contacted her employer and expressed their concern that Plaintiff was unfit to teach. Furthermore, as discovery in this

matter is ongoing and both party and fact witness depositions have yet to be conducted,

Plaintiff reserves the right to update this interrogatory, up to and including at trial, as new facts

become known to her.

Interrogatory No. 10: State with particularity all facts supporting your contention that you exercised or were perceived to have exercised your right to free speech while an employee at Hopwood Junior High School.

Response to Interrogatory No. 10: Plaintiff would direct PSS's attention to the facts as alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint. Additionally, Defendant Jim Brewer made several statements to Plaintiff and other individuals, both in the company of others and when alone with Plaintiff, communicating his belief that Plaintiff had some involvement (as in drafting, circulating, encouraging others to adopt and/or otherwise ratifying the contents of) with the "letter of concern" as alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint. Furthermore, as discovery in this matter is ongoing and both party and fact witness depositions have yet to be conducted, Plaintiff reserves the right to update this interrogatory, up to and including at trial, as new facts become known to her.

Interrogatory No. 11: State with particularity all facts supporting your contention that "the actions of Mr. Brewer and/or PSS deprived Ms. Black of rights guaranteed by the Constitution, including, but not limited to her right under Article 1 Section 5 to due process of law and her right of individual privacy under Article 1 Section 10."